arXiv:1506.02064v1 [math.GR] 5 Jun 2015

Infinite-dimensional cohomology of $\mathbf{SL_2}\left(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]\right)$

Sarah Cobb

June 9, 2015

Abstract

For J an integral domain and F its field of fractions, we construct a map from the 3-skeleton of the classifying space for $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}])$ to a Euclidean building on which Γ acts. We then find an infinite family of independent cocycles in the building and lift them to the classifying space, thus proving that the cohomology group $H^2\left(\mathbf{SL_2}\left(J[t,t^{-1}]\right);F\right)$ is infinite-dimensional.

1 Introduction

Let J be an integral domain with identity and F its field of fractions. Our goal in this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *$H^2\left(\mathbf{SL_2}\left(J[t,t^{-1}]\right);F\right)$ is infinite-dimensional.*

This theorem generalizes several earlier results about the finiteness properties of $\mathbf{SL_2}\left(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]\right)$. In [KM97], Krstić-McCool prove that $\mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}])$, among other related groups, is not F_2.

In [BW06], Bux-Wortman use geometric methods to prove that $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}])$ is also not FP_2. In particular, they use the action of $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}])$ on a product of locally infinite trees. Bux-Wortman also ask whether their proof can be extended to show the stronger result that $H_2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Z})$ is infinitely generated. Knudson proves that this is the case in [Knu08] using algebraic methods. Note that this result also follows from Theorem 1: Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a field,

$$H^2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(H_2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Q}),\mathbb{Q})$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(H_2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Q}),\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional, so is $H_2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Q})$, which implies that $H_2(\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]);\mathbb{Z})$ is not finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

The methods in this paper will be geometric. We will define two spaces on which $\mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}])$ acts: one a Euclidean building as in [BW06], and the other a classifying space for $\mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}])$. A map between these spaces will allow us to explicitly define an infinite family of independent cocycles in $H^2(\mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}]);F)$.

The methods used are based on those of Cesa-Kelly in [CK15], where they are used to show that $H^2\left(\mathbf{SL_3}(\mathbb{Z}[t]);\mathbb{Q}\right)$ is infinite-dimensional. Wortman follows a similar outline in [Wor13].

Acknowledgments

This paper is adapted from my dissertation, completed at the University of Utah. I am thankful for support from the institution and its faculty.

I am deeply grateful to Kevin Wortman, my thesis advisor, for guiding me through this work.

I would also like to thank Kai-Uwe Bux for pointing out some errors in an earlier draft; Brendan Kelly and Morgan Cesa, for detailed explanations of their results; and Jon Chaika and Yair Glasner for helpful conversations.

2 The Euclidean Building

Throughout, let J be an integral domain with identity, F the field of fractions over J, and $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL_2}(J[t,t^{-1}])$.

We begin by recalling the structure of a Euclidean building on which Γ acts. The following construction uses the notation of Bux-Wortman in [BW06]. Let ν_∞ and ν_0 be the valuations on $F(t)$ giving multiplicity of zeros at infinity and at zero, respectively. More precisely, $\nu_\infty\left(\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right) = \deg(q(t)) - \deg(p(t))$, and $\nu_0\left(\frac{r(t)}{s(t)}t^n\right) = n$, where t does not divide the polynomials r and s. Let T_∞ and T_0 be the Bruhat-Tits trees associated to $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$ with the valuations ν_∞ and ν_0, respectively. We will consider each tree as a metric space with edges having length 1. Let $X = T_\infty \times T_0$.

Since $F((t^{-1}))$ (respectively $F((t))$) is the completion of $F(t)$ with respect to ν_∞ (resp. ν_0), $\mathbf{SL_2}(F((t^{-1})))$ (resp. $\mathbf{SL_2}(F((t)))$) acts on the tree T_∞ (resp. T_0). Therefore the group $\mathbf{SL_2}(F((t^{-1}))) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F((t)))$ acts on X.

Throughout this paper, we will regard Γ and $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$ as diagonal subgroups of $\mathbf{SL_2}(F((t^{-1}))) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F((t)))$, which act on X via that embedding.

Let L_∞ (resp. L_0) be the unique geodesic line in T_∞ (resp. T_0) stabilized by the diagonal subgroup of $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$. Let $\ell_\infty : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow L_\infty$ (resp. $\ell_0 : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow L_0$) be an isometry with $\ell_\infty(0)$ (resp. $\ell_0(0)$) the unique vertex with stabilizer $\mathbf{SL_2}(F[t^{-1}])$ (resp.

$\mathbf{SL_2}(F[t])$). Let $x_0 = (\ell_\infty(0), \ell_0(0))$ serve as a basepoint of X and $\Sigma = L_\infty \times L_0$ so that Σ is an apartment of X.

3 The Action of Γ on X

The goal of this section is to establish certain large-scale features of the action of Γ on X. In particular, we will find a horoball containing a sequence of points far from x_0 and show that the Γ-translates of this horoball are disjoint. The techniques here are similar to those used by Bux-Wortman in [BW11].

For certain parts of the proof, it will be convenient to work with $\Gamma_F = \mathbf{SL_2}(F[t, t^{-1}])$ instead of with Γ. Note that Γ_F also acts on T_∞ and on T_0 and therefore acts diagonally on X.

We also define the following useful subgroups:

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a, b \in F(t) \right\}$$

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, x \in F(t) \right\}$$

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in F(t) \right\}$$

For any diagonal subgroup G of $\mathbf{SL_2}(F((t^{-1}))) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F((t)))$, we will use the notation

$$G_\Gamma = G \cap \Gamma$$

and

$$G_{\Gamma_F} = G \cap \Gamma_F$$

Lemma 2. *The double coset space $\Gamma_F \backslash \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))/P$ is a single point.*

Proof. Consider the action of $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(F(t))$ by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$. Under this action, P is the stabilizer of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))/P = \mathbb{P}^1(F(t))$. Since Γ_F acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^1(F(t))$, $\Gamma_F \backslash \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))/P$ is a single point. $\square$

Let β_∞ be the Busemann function in T_∞ for $\ell_\infty|_{[0,\infty)}$ and β_0 the Busemann function in T_0 for $\ell_0|_{[0,\infty)}$

Let $\rho : [0,\infty) \longrightarrow X$ be the geodesic ray with $\rho(s) = (\ell_\infty(s), \ell_0(s))$. Let β_ρ be the Busemann function for ρ with $\beta_\rho(x_0) = 0$ such that $\beta_\rho(x, y) = \beta_\infty(x) + \beta_0(y)$ for any $x \in T_\infty$ and $y \in T_0$. Thus $\beta_\rho^{-1}([d,\infty))$ is a horoball based at $\rho(\infty)$.

Lemma 3. *P_{Γ_F} stabilizes the horosphere $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since $P_{\Gamma_F} = A_{\Gamma_F} U_{\Gamma_F}$, it suffices to show that each of these groups preserves $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$.

Since β_∞ and β_0 are U_{Γ_F}-equivariant, so is β_ρ. Thus U_{Γ_F} preserves $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$.

Let

$$A_F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in F \right\}$$

and $D = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A_{\Gamma_F} = A_F D^{\mathbb{Z}}$

For any $x \in T_\infty, y \in T_0$ we have $\beta_\infty(Dx) = \beta_\infty(x) + 2$ and $\beta_0(Dy) = \beta_0(y) - 2$. Therefore $\beta_\rho(D(x, y)) = \beta_\rho(x, y)$ and D preserves $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$.

Since A_F acts trivially on X, it preserves $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$.

□

Note that this also implies that the horoball $\beta_\rho^{-1}([d,\infty))$ is stabilized by P_{Γ_F}, since it is a union of horospheres.

The following lemma will be useful for describing the action of U on the boundaries ∂T_∞ and ∂T_0.

Lemma 4. *For any open sets $V \subseteq F((t^{-1}))$ and $W \subseteq F((t))$, we have*

$$V \cap W \cap F(t) \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. Consider the sequence $\alpha_i = \frac{t^i}{t^i+1}$, which converges to 1 in $F((t^{-1}))$ and to 0 in $F((t))$. Let $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. Then the sequence $\beta_i = (v - w)\alpha_i + w$ converges to v in $F((t^{-1}))$ and to w in $F((t))$. Therefore $\beta_i \in V \cap W \cap F(t)$ for any sufficiently large i. □

Lemma 5. *Σ is a fundamental domain for the action of U on X.*

Proof. Let $x \in T_\infty$, $y \in T_0$. Then the set

$$V = \left\{ \alpha \in F((t^{-1})) \,\middle|\, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ell_\infty \text{ passes through } x \right\}$$

is open in $F((t^{-1}))$. Similarly,

$$W = \left\{ \beta \in F((t)) \,\middle|\, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ell_0 \text{ passes through } y \right\}$$

is open in $F((t))$.

By lemma 4, there is some $\gamma \in V \cap W \cap F(t)$. Since $(x, y) \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma$, we have $U\Sigma = X$.

To see that Σ contains only one point from each U-orbit, observe that a point $x \in \Sigma$ is uniquely determined by the values $\beta_\infty(x)$ and $\beta_0(x)$. Since U preserves β_∞ and β_0, $Ux \cap \Sigma = x$.

$\square$

Let $x_n = (\ell_\infty(n), \ell_0(n))$.

Lemma 6. *There is some $s > 0$ such that for every $R > 0$*

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_s(\Gamma_F x_m)$$

where m is an integer such that $|R - m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $y = (\ell_\infty(R), \ell_0(R)) = \beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \cap \rho$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Since

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \cap \Sigma = \big\{ (\ell_\infty(x), \ell_0(R - x)) \, | x \in \mathbb{R} \big\}$$

and

$$D^n \cdot (\ell_\infty(a), \ell_0(b)) = (\ell_\infty(a + 2n), \ell_0(b - 2n))$$

we see that

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \cap \Sigma \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_{2\sqrt{2}} (A_\Gamma \cdot y)$$

Since $X = U\Sigma$ and U preserves $\beta_\rho^{-1}(R)$,

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_{2\sqrt{2}}(UA_\Gamma \cdot y)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $|R - m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $d(x_m, y) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, we have

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_{2\sqrt{2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}(UA_\Gamma \cdot x_m)$$

Let

$$C = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| \, a \in F[[t^{-1}]], b \in F[[t]] \right\}$$

Then $C \subset \mathbf{SL_2}(F((t^{-1}))) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F((t)))$ is bounded with $U \subset CU_\Gamma$. Thus, there is some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Ux \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_r(U_\Gamma x)$ for every $x \in X$, which yields

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_{2\sqrt{2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+r}(U_\Gamma A_\Gamma \cdot x_m)$$

Since $U_\Gamma A_\Gamma < \Gamma_F$, this gives the desired inclusion

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_{2\sqrt{2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+r}(\Gamma_F \cdot x_m)$$

□

Lemma 7. *For every $C > 0$, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, \Gamma_F x_0) > C$ for every $n > N$.*

Proof. The following proof is based on the proof of Lemma 2.2 by Bux-Wortman in [BW06].

Let $C > 0$.

We will show that any subsequence of $\{x_{2n}\}$ is unbounded in the quotient space $\Gamma_F \backslash X$. This implies that only a finite number of points of $\{x_{2n}\}$ can be contained in any neighborhood of $\Gamma_F x_0$. Since a finite number of points of $\{x_{2n}\}$ are contained in $\mathrm{Nbhd}_{C+\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma_F x_0)$ and $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \sqrt{2}$, a finite number of points of $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are contained in $\mathrm{Nbhd}_C(\Gamma_F x_0)$. This suffices to prove the lemma.

The group $\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t)) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$ acts componentwise on X, and has a metric induced by the valuations v_∞ and v_0. Under this metric, the stabilizer of x_0 is a bounded subgroup. Thus, to prove that a set of vertices in $\Gamma_F \backslash X$ is not bounded, it suffices to prove that it has unbounded preimage under the projection

$$\Gamma_F \backslash \big(\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t)) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))\big) \longrightarrow \Gamma_F \backslash X$$

given by $\Gamma_F g \longmapsto \Gamma_F g x_0$.

Let $D = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\delta = (D, D^{-1}) \in \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t)) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))$. Then $A^n x_0 = x_{2n}$. It therefore suffices to prove that any infinite subset of $\{\Gamma_F \delta^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded in $\Gamma_F \backslash \big(\mathbf{SL_2}(F(t)) \times \mathbf{SL_2}(F(t))\big)$.

Assume that this is not the case. That is, assume that there is some infinite subset $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\Gamma_F \delta^i\}_{i\in I}$ is bounded. Then there is some L such that for every $i \in I$ there is some $M_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_F$ such that the values of v_∞ of the coefficients of $M_i D^i$ are bounded from below by L and the values of v_0 of the coefficients of $M_i D^{-i}$ are also bounded from below by L. Then

$$L \leq v_\infty(a_n t^n) = v_\infty(a_n) + n v_\infty(t) = v_\infty(a_n) - n$$

and

$$L \leq v_0(a_n t^{-n}) = v_0(a_n) - n v_0(t) = v_0(a_n) - n$$

This gives that $v_\infty(a_n) \geq 1$ and $v_0(a_n) \geq 1$ whenever $n \geq 1 - L$, which implies that $a_n = 0$.

The same argument shows that $c_n = 0$, which implies that M_i is not in Γ_F when $i \geq 1 - L$. ☐

Lemma 8. *There is some $R > 0$ such that $\Gamma_F \cdot x_0 \cap \beta_\rho^{-1}([R, \infty)) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since $\beta_\rho^{-1}([R,\infty))$ is a union of the horospheres $\beta_\rho^{-1}(r)$ for $r \in [R, \infty)$, Lemma 6 guarantees that

$$\beta_\rho^{-1}([R,\infty)) \subset \bigcup_{\left\{m\in\mathbb{Z} \mid m > R - \frac{1}{2}\right\}} \mathrm{Nbhd}_s(\Gamma_F \cdot x_m)$$

for some s.

By Lemma 7, $d(x_m, \Gamma_F \cdot x_0) > s$ for large values of R. This implies that

$$d(\Gamma_F \cdot x_m, \Gamma_F \cdot x_0) > s$$

Thus, we may conclude that

$$\Gamma_F \cdot x_0 \cap \beta_\rho^{-1}([R,\infty)) = \emptyset$$

☐

Fix R as in Lemma 8. Let d be the maximum distance from a point in the horosphere $\beta_{\rho}^{-1}(R)$ to the orbit $\Gamma_F \cdot x_0$.

Lemma 9. *d is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 6, $\beta_{\rho}^{-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Nbhd}_s(\Gamma_F x_n)$ for some $n > 0$, $s > 0$. Thus for every $x \in \beta_{\rho}^{-1}(R)$ there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ such that $d(x, \gamma \cdot x_n) < s$. Since

$$d(\gamma \cdot x_n, \gamma \cdot x_0) = d(x_n, x_0) = n\sqrt{2}$$

we have $d(x, \gamma \cdot x_0) < s + n\sqrt{2}$. Since this bound is independent of x, it follows that $d < s + n\sqrt{2}$. □

Let $H = \beta_{\rho}^{-1}([R + d, \infty))$.

Lemma 10. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$. If $\gamma H \cap H \neq \emptyset$, then $\gamma H = H$ and $\gamma \in P_{\Gamma_F}$.*

Proof. Let C be the chamber of ∂X containing the point $\rho(\infty)$ and $S \subset X$ an apartment whose boundary contains C and γC. The endpoints of the arc C are $\ell_\infty(\infty)$ and $\ell_0(\infty)$. Thus, any chamber adjacent to C contains one of these two points. Because $\mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma_F}(\ell_\infty(\infty)) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma_F}(\ell_0(\infty)) < P$, any element of Γ_F either stabilizes both $\ell_\infty(\infty)$ and $\ell_0(\infty)$ or neither. Therefore, C and γC cannot be adjacent. Since each apartment of ∂X contains exactly four chambers, the two chambers are either equal or opposite.

If C and γC are opposite, then $S \cap H \cap \gamma H$ is contained in a neighborhood of a hyperplane in S. Suppose $x \in S \cap \gamma H \cap \beta_{\rho}^{-1}(R)$. It follows from the choice of d that there is some $y \in \Gamma_F \cdot x_0$ such that $d(x, y) \leq d$. Since $\beta_{\gamma\rho}(x) \geq R + d$, it is clear that $\beta_{\gamma\rho}(y) \geq R$. Therefore $\beta_{\rho}(\gamma^{-1} y) \geq R$, which contradicts Lemma 8, since $\gamma^{-1} y \in \Gamma_F \cdot x_0$.

Thus $\gamma C = C$, which implies that $\gamma \in P_{\Gamma_F}$. It follows that H and γH are horoballs based at the same boundary point. Since γ preserves distance from $\Gamma_F \cdot x_0$, $\gamma H = H$. □

4 The Classifying Space

We will now construct a second space on which Γ acts freely and properly discontinuously by isometries. A map between the two spaces will allow us to construct cohomology classes of Γ in the familiar context of a product of trees.

Let X_0 be a discrete collection of points $\{x_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Γ acts freely on this set by $\gamma' \cdot x_\gamma = x_{\gamma'\gamma}$. Define a Γ-equivariant map $\psi_0 : X_0 \longrightarrow X$ by $\psi_0(x_\gamma) = \gamma \cdot x_0$. By Lemma 8, $\psi_0(X_0) \cap H = \emptyset$. Note also that $\psi_0(X_0) \cap \gamma H = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Construct X_1 from X_0 by attaching a 1-cell $E_{x_\gamma, x_{\gamma'}}$ of length 1 between every pair of points $x_\gamma, x_{\gamma'} \in X_0$. For $\zeta \in \Gamma$, let $\zeta : E_{x_\gamma, x_{\gamma'}} \longrightarrow E_{x_{\zeta\gamma}, x_{\zeta\gamma'}}$ be the unique distance-preserving map with $\zeta(x_\gamma) = x_{\zeta\gamma}$ and $\zeta(x_{\gamma'}) = x_{\zeta\gamma'}$. This defines a Γ-action on X_1 that extends the Γ-action on X_0.

We wish to define a Γ-equivariant map $\psi_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow X$ extending ψ_0 and with

$$\psi_1(X_1) \subset X - \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma H$$

For every nonidentity element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, choose a path c_γ from x_0 to γx_0. Since $\partial(\gamma H)$ is connected for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we can choose all such paths to lie outside of $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma H$. We may then define $\psi_1(E_{x_\gamma, x_{\gamma'}})$ to lie along the path $\gamma c_{(\gamma^{-1}\gamma')}$.

We will build a family of spaces X_n inductively. Beginning with an n-dimensional, $(n-1)$-connected cell complex X_n on which Γ acts freely and a Γ-equivariant map $\psi_n : X_n \longrightarrow X$, we may construct an $n+1$-dimensional, n-connected cell complex X_{n+1} on which Γ acts freely and a Γ-equivariant map $\psi_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X$. For every map $s : S^n \longrightarrow X_n$, attach an $(n+1)$-cell E_s along γs for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This results in an n-connected space X_{n+1}. Define a Γ-action on X_{n+1} by $\gamma E_s = E_{\gamma s}$. For each s as above, define $\psi_{n+1,s} : E_s \longrightarrow X$ to extend $\psi_n(\partial E_s)$ with $\psi_{n+1,\gamma s} = \gamma \psi_{n+1,s}$. Because $\pi_{n+1}(X)$ is trivial for all n, this is always possible. There is a unique Γ-equivariant map ψ_{n+1} extending these maps.

Since the focus of Theorem 1 is second-dimensional cohomology, we will use the space X_3 extensively. Therefore we will let $\psi = \psi_3$. Since $\Gamma \backslash X_3$ is the 3-skeleton of a $K(\Gamma, 1)$, $H^2(\Gamma; F) = H^2(X_3; F)$.

5 Local Cohomology

Before defining cocyles on $\Gamma \setminus X_3$, we will define cocycles in the relative homology groups of several subspaces of X.

Recall that $x_n = (\ell_\infty(n), \ell_0(n))$. We will assume from now on that $n > R + d$ so that $x_n \in H$. Recall also

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, x \in F(t) \right\}$$

and $U_\Gamma = U \cap \Gamma$.

Let

$$U_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| v_\infty(x) \geq -n, v_0(x) \geq -n \right\}$$

and

$$U^n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum_{i=k}^{\ell} a_i t^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i = 0 \text{ for } -n \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

Then $U_n \cap U^n = \mathrm{Id}$ and $U_\Gamma = U_n U^n$.

Let S_n be the star of x_n in X (that is, the collection of cells having x_n as a vertex) and C the cell in S_n containing x_{n-1}. Let $S_n^{\downarrow} = U_n C$. This is also the union of 2-cells in S_n with β_∞ and β_0 bounded above by n.

We will define cocycles $\varphi_n \in H^2(S_n^{\downarrow}, S_n^{\downarrow} \cap \partial S_n; F)$. Summing these over cosets of U_Γ in Γ will give us cocycles in $H^2(\Gamma; F)$, which we will use to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 11. $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & at^{-n} + bt^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b \in F \right\} < U_n$ *acts transitively on the set of 2-cells in* $S_n^{\downarrow}$.

Proof. We begin by describing subsets of U_n that act transitively on the sets

$$E_0 = \left\{ \ell_\infty(n) \times e | e \subset T_0 \text{ an edge}, \ell_0(n) \in e, \ell_0(n+1) \notin e \right\}$$

and

$$E_\infty = \left\{ e \times \ell_0(n) | e \subset T_\infty \text{ an edge}, \ell_\infty(n) \in e, \ell_\infty(n+1) \notin e \right\}$$

Let

$$U_n^{E_0} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & at^{-n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in F \right\}$$

and

$$U_n^{E_\infty} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bt^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| b \in F \right\}$$

$U_n^{E_0}$ acts transitively on the set of edges in T_0 incident to $\ell_0(n)$ and not to $\ell_0(n+1)$. Since $U_n^{E_0}$ stabilizes x_n, it acts transitively on E_0. Denote by e_0 the edge in E_0 between x_n and $(\ell_\infty(n), \ell_0(n-1))$. Let $e_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & at^{-n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e_0$ for each $a \in F$.

Similarly, $U_n^{E_\infty}$ acts transitively on the set of edges in T_∞ incident to $\ell_\infty(n)$ and not to $\ell_\infty(n+1)$. Since $U_n^{E_\infty}$ stabilizes x_n, it acts transitively on E_∞. Denote by f_0 the edge in E_∞ between x_n and $(\ell_\infty(n-1), \ell_0(n))$. Let $f_b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bt^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f_0$ for each $b \in F$.

Since any 2-cell in $S_n^\downarrow$ contains a unique pair of edges e_a and f_b in its boundary,

$$U_n^{E_0} \times U_n^{E_\infty} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & at^{-n} + bt^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a, b \in F \right\}$$

acts transitively on the set of 2-cells in $S_n^\downarrow$ as well as on E_0 and E_∞. □

Let $C_{0,0}^n$ be the 2-cell in $S_n^\downarrow$ containing x_{n-1}, and let $C_{a,b}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & at^{-n} + bt^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} C_{0,0}^n$. Orient each edge e_a and f_b with initial vertex x_n. We may then choose an orientation for each $C_{a,b}^n$ such that we have $\partial C_{a,b}^n = e_a - f_b + D$ for some chain $D \subset S_n^\downarrow \cap \partial S_n$.

Define a cochain φ_n by $\varphi_n(C_{a,b}^n) = ab$. Since X is 2-dimensional, any 2-cochain is a cocycle.

We will define cocycles in $H^2(\Gamma \backslash X_3; F)$ as sums of the φ_n's. The following lemma will be used in proving that these cocycles are well-defined.

Lemma 12. *φ_n is U_n-invarant.*

Proof. Let a *basic cycle* in $S_n^\downarrow$ be one of the form $C_{x,y}^n - C_{x',y}^n - C_{x,y'}^n + C_{x',y'}^n$. We will show first that φ_n is U_n-invariant on basic cycles, then that any cycle is a sum of basic cycles.

Let

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum_{i=-n}^n a_i t^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_n$$

and D be a basic cycle.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(uD) &= \varphi_n(u(C^n_{x,y} - C^n_{x',y} - C^n_{x,y'} + C^n_{x',y'})) \\ &= \varphi_n(uC^n_{x,y} - uC^n_{x',y} - uC^n_{x,y'} + uC^n_{x',y'}) \\ &= \varphi_n(C^n_{x+a_{-n},y+a_n} - C^n_{x'+a_{-n},y+a_n} - C^n_{x+a_{-n},y'+a_n} + C^n_{x'+a_{-n},y'+a_n}) \\ &= (x+a_{-n})(y+a_n) - (x'+a_{-n})(y+a_n) - (x+a_{-n})(y'+a_n) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad + (x'+a_{-n})(y'+a_n) \\ &= xy - x'y - xy' + x'y' \\ &= \varphi_n(D)\end{aligned}$$

Thus φ_n is U_n-invariant on basic cycles.

It remains to show that all cycles are sums of basic cycles. Define the length l of a chain in $H_2(S^{\downarrow}_n, S^{\downarrow}_n \cap \partial S_n)$ by

$$l\left(\sum_{i,j\in J} \alpha_{i,j} C^n_{i,j}\right) = \sum_{i,j\in J} |\alpha_{i,j}|$$

Suppose there are cycles which are not sums of basic cycles. Let $B = \sum_{i,j\in J} \alpha_{i,j} C^n_{i,j}$ be such a cycle with the property that $l(B) \leq l(B')$, for B' any other such cycle. Let $C^n_{x,y}$ be a 2-cell such that $\alpha_{x,y} > 0$. Since B is a cycle, $\partial B = 0$. Therefore, there are some $x', y' \in J$ such that $\alpha_{x',y}, \alpha_{x,y'} < 0$. Then

$$l(B - (C^n_{x,y} - C^n_{x',y} - C^n_{x,y'} + C^n_{x',y'})) \leq l(B) - 2$$

Since this cycle differs from B by a basic cycle, it cannot be written as a sum of basic cycles, contradicting the assumption that B is the shortest cycle with that property. □

6 Cohomology

We now wish to define cocycles in $H^2(\Gamma\backslash X_3; F)$ by summing φ_n over cosets of U_Γ in Γ.

Let $Y_n = \mathrm{Stab}_U(x_n) \cdot \Sigma$

Lemma 13. *Y_n is a fundamental domain for the action of U^n on X.*

Proof. We will show first that Y_n intersects every U^n-orbit in X. That is,

$$X = U^n \operatorname{Stab}_U(x_n)\Sigma$$

Since $U\Sigma = X$, it suffices to show that $Ux_n = U^n x_n$. Let $u \in U$. Since u preserves β_ρ, there is some $m > n$ such that $ux_m = x_m$. By lemma 11, for every $n < i \leq m$, the group

$$V_i = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{-i}t^{-i} + a_i t^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a_{-i}, a_i \in F \right\}$$

acts transitively on the set of 2-cells in $S_i^{\downarrow}$. In particular, it acts transitively on $\beta_\rho^{-1}(i-1) \cap B_{\sqrt{2}}(x_i)$ where $B_r(y)$ denotes the sphere of radius r centered at y. It follows that $V_m \cdot V_{m-1} \ldots V_{n+1}$ acts transitively on $\beta_\rho^{-1}(n) \cap B_{\sqrt{2}(m-n)}(x_m)$.

Since U acts by β_ρ-preserving isometries, $ux_n \in \beta_\rho^{-1}(n) \cap B_{\sqrt{2}(m-n)}(x_m)$. Thus, there is some $u' \in V_m \cdot V_{m-1} \ldots V_{n+1}$ with $u'x_n = ux_n$.

It remains to show that Y_n contains exactly one point of each U^n-orbit.

Suppose there is some $u^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U^n$ and $x \in Y_n$ such that $u^n x \in Y_n$. We will first consider the case that $x \in \Sigma$, so that $x = (\ell_\infty(a), \ell_0(b))$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $u^n \neq \mathrm{Id}$, then either $v_\infty(\alpha) \leq -(n+1)$ or $v_0(\alpha) \leq -(n+1)$. Therefore either

$$u^n \cdot L_\infty \cap \pi_\infty(Y_n) \subseteq \ell_\infty([n+1, \infty))$$

or

$$u^n \cdot L_0 \cap \pi_0(Y_n) \subseteq \ell_0([n+1, \infty))$$

where π_∞ and π_0 are orthogonal projections to T_∞ and T_0 respectively. Therefore if $u^n x \in Y_n$, we have $a, b \geq n+1$ and $u^n x = x$.

Now consider general $x \in Y_n$. By the definition of Y_n, $x = vy$ for some $v \in \operatorname{Stab}_U(x_n)$ and $y \in \Sigma$. Since U is abelian, $u^n x = vu^n y$. Since $vu^n y \in Y_n$, so is $u^n y$. As above, this implies that $y = u^n y$ and thus that $x = u^n x$.

□

We may identify Y^n with the quotient $U^n \backslash X$. Let $\theta_n : X \longrightarrow Y_n$ be the quotient map. Note that θ_n is U_n-equivariant since U is abelian.

We may now define $\Phi_n \in H^2(\Gamma \backslash X_3; F)$ by

$$\Phi_n(\Gamma D) = \sum_{U_\Gamma g \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})$$

for any 2-cell D in X_3. The next several lemmas show that Φ_n is well-defined as a formal sum, always contains a finite number of nonzero terms, is a cocycle, and is U_n-invariant.

Lemma 14. *Φ_n is well-defined. In particular, it is independent of the choice of coset representatives in ΓD and $U_\Gamma g$.*

Proof. This proof closely follows the proof of a lemma from [CK15]. Let $u \in U_\Gamma, u = u^n u_n$ for $u^n \in U^n$ and $u_n \in U_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(ugD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) &= \varphi_n(\theta_n(u\psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) \\ &= \varphi_n(\theta_n(u^n u_n \psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) \\ &= \varphi_n(\theta_n(u_n \psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) \\ &= \varphi_n(u_n \theta_n(\psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) \\ &= \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})\end{aligned}$$

So Φ_n is independent of coset representative in $U_\Gamma g$.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n(\Gamma(\gamma D)) &= \sum_{U_\Gamma g \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(g\gamma D) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})) \\ &= \sum_{U_\Gamma (g\gamma) \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(g\gamma D) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})) \\ &= \sum_{U_\Gamma g \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(gD) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})) \\ &= \Phi_n(\Gamma D)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Φ_n is also independent of coset representative in ΓD. ☐

Lemma 15. *For any 2-cell D in X_3, the formal sum*

$$\Phi_n(\Gamma D) = \sum_{U_\Gamma g \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(gD)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow})$$

has a finite number of nonzero terms.

Proof. Since D is compact, so is $\psi(D)$. Therefore $\psi(D)$ must intersect a finite number of Γ-translates of H. We may therefore write

$$D = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (D \cap \psi^{-1}(\alpha_i H)) \right) \cup \overline{D}$$

where k is some finite number, $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$ and $\overline{D}$ is disjoint from the interior of ΓH.

Let $D_i = D \cap \psi^{-1}(\alpha_i H)$

Then we have

$$\Phi_n(\Gamma D) = \Phi_n(\Gamma \overline{D}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Phi_n(\Gamma D_i)$$

Since $\theta_n(\psi(g\overline{D}) \cap S_n^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$ for every $g \in \Gamma$, we have $\Phi_n(\Gamma\overline{D}) = 0$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\Phi_n(\Gamma D_i)$ is a finite sum for each i. Let

$$C_i = \Big\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \big| \, \gamma\psi(D_i) \cap S_n^{\downarrow} \neq \emptyset \Big\}$$

and

$$C_i' = \Big\{ U_\Gamma \gamma \in U_\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \big| \, \xi \in C_i \text{ for some } \xi \in U_\Gamma \gamma \Big\}$$

We will show that C_i' is finite for each i.

Suppose first that $\alpha_i H = H$. Then $C_i \subset P_\Gamma = U_\Gamma A_\Gamma$. Suppose $ua \in C$, with $u \in U_\Gamma$ and $a \in A_\Gamma$. Since either $uS_n^{\downarrow} \cap S_n^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$ or u fixes $S_n^{\downarrow}$ pointwise, we may conclude that $a \in C$. Since $\psi(D_i)$ is compact and $aS_n^{\downarrow} \cap S_n^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$ for every $a \in A$, C_i contains finitely many elements of A. Thus C_i' is finite.

Now consider the general α_i with nonempty C_i and let $\gamma \in C_i$. Since $\Phi_n(\Gamma\gamma D_i) = \Phi_n(\Gamma D_i)$ and $\gamma D_i \subset H$, the result follows from the argument above.

□

Lemma 16. *Φ_n is a cocycle.*

Proof. Let ΓD be a 3-cell in $\Gamma \backslash X_3$ corresponding to the 3-cell D in X_3. Then ∂D is a 2-sphere. Since X contains no nontrivial 2-spheres, $\psi(\partial D)$ is trivial. Thus,

$$\varphi_n(\theta_n(\psi(g\partial D)) \cap S_n^{\downarrow}) = 0$$

for any $g \in \Gamma$ and $\Phi_n(\Gamma D) = 0$.

□

Lemma 17. *Φ_n is U_n-invariant.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\theta_n \psi(D) \cap S_n$ is supported on $S_n^{\downarrow}$ for every disk D in X_3 since φ_n is U_n-invariant.

Let $H_n = \beta_\rho^{-1}([\beta_\rho(x_n), \infty))$. Since $H_n \subset H$, the definition of ψ implies that $\psi(X_1) \cap H_n = \emptyset$. Thus, $\partial\psi(D)$ is entirely outside of H_n. Since U^n preserves H_n, we may also conclude that $\partial\theta_n(\psi(D))$ is outside $\theta_n(H_n)$.

Suppose there is some cell $C_0 \subset \mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$ with $C_0 \subset S_n$ and $C_0 \not\subset S_n^{\downarrow}$. We will find an infinite family of cells which must also be contained in $\mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$, contradicting the compactness of $\theta_n(\psi(D))$.

Since $S_n \subset U_n\Sigma$ and $S_n^{\downarrow}$ is U_n-invariant, we may assume without loss of generality that $C_0 \subset \Sigma$. Then C_0 is one of $\ell_\infty([n-1,n]) \times \ell_0([n,n+1])$, $\ell_\infty([n,n+1]) \times \ell_0([n-1,n])$, or $\ell_\infty([n,n+1]) \times \ell_0([n,n+1])$. We will examine in detail the case where $C_0 = \ell_\infty([n-1,n]) \times \ell_0([n,n+1])$. The others are parallel.

Let $C_i = \ell_\infty([n-1,n]) \times \ell_0([n+i,n+i+1])$ and $e_i = \ell_\infty([n-1,n]) \times \ell_0(n+i)$ so that $C_i, e_i \subset \Sigma$ with C_{i-1} and C_i adjacent along e_i. We will show by induction that all C_i are in $\mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$.

Since $e_i \subset H_n$, we have $e_i \not\subset \partial\theta_n(\psi(D))$. Thus, if $\mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$ contains C_{i-1}, it must also contain some other cell with e_i in its boundary. Such a cell must be of the form $\ell_\infty([n-1,n]) \times e$ for some edge $e \subset T_0$ with $\ell_0(n+i)$ one endpoint. Since the action of U_n on T_0 fixes all edges incident to $\ell_0(n+i)$, the only cells of this form in $U_n\Sigma$ are the ones in Σ itself: C_{i-1} and C_i. Thus $C_i \subset \mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$.

This shows that $\mathrm{Supp}(\theta_n(\psi(D))$ contains infinitely many cells and is not compact.

□

Now that we have defined a family of cocyles, it remains to show that they are independent. We will do this by exhibiting a family of disks $\widetilde{B_{2n}} \subset X_3$ such that $\Phi_{2n}(\Gamma\widetilde{B_{2n}}) = 1$ and $\Phi_k(\Gamma\widetilde{B_{2n}}) = 0$ for $k > 2n$.

Let Z_{2n} be the triangle in Σ with vertices x_n, $(\ell_\infty(n), \ell_0(-n))$, and $(\ell_\infty(-n), \ell_0(n))$. Let

$$B_{2n} = Z_{2n} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^{2n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Z_{2n} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^{-2n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Z_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^{-2n}+t^{2n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Z_{2n}$$

Then B_{2n} is a square with $B_{2n} \cap S_{2n}^{\downarrow} = C_{0,0}^{2n} - C_{1,0}^{2n} - C_{0,1}^{2n} + C_{1,1}^{2n}$.

Lemma 18. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some disc $\widetilde{B_{2n}} \subset X_3$ such that $\psi(\widetilde{B_{2n}}) \cap H = B_{2n}$.*

Proof. Letting $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^{-2n}+t^{2n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} t^n & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$, the vertices of B_{2n} are ax_0, $a^{-1}x_0$, uax_0, and $ua^{-1}x_0$. In particular, these four vertices are in Γx_0 and therefore in $\psi(X_0)$. Let v_i and v_j be two vertices on an edge of the square, with $\widetilde{v_i} \in \psi^{-1}(v_i)$ and $\widetilde{v_j} \in \psi^{-1}(v_j)$. Since X_3 is connected, there is a path $\widetilde{c_{i,j}}$ between $\widetilde{v_i}$ and $\widetilde{v_j}$. Thus $c_{i,j} = \psi(\widetilde{c_{i,j}})$ is a path connecting v_i and v_j. By the definition of ψ, $c_{i,j}$ is disjoint from ΓH. Repeating this for all four edges gives a path c in X which bounds a disk B'_{2n} such that $B_{2n} \cap H = B'_{2n} \cap H$ and is the image of a path $\widetilde{c}$ in X_3. Since X_3 is 2-connected, there is a disk $\widetilde{B_{2n}}$ that fills $\widetilde{c}$, and $\psi(\widetilde{B_{2n}}) = B'_{2n}$. □

Lemma 19. $\Phi_{2n}(\widetilde{\Gamma B_{2n}}) = 1$ *and* $\Phi_k(\widetilde{\Gamma B_{2n}}) = 0$ *for* $k > 2n$.

Proof. We will prove that $\Phi_k(\widetilde{\Gamma B_{2n}}) = 0$ by showing that $\gamma B_{2n} \cap S_k^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $B_{2n} \cap H \subset \beta_\rho^{-1}([R+d, 2n])$ and P_Γ preserves β_ρ, we have $pB_{2n} \cap S_k^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$ for every $p \in P_\Gamma$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma - P_\Gamma$, $\gamma H \cap H = \emptyset$. Since $B_{2n} \cap \Gamma H \subset H$, this implies that $\gamma B_{2n} \cap S_k^{\downarrow} = \emptyset$.

By the definitions of B_{2n} and φ_{2n}, we have $\varphi_{2n}(B_{2n}) = 1$. It therefore suffices to show that $\gamma B_{2n} \cap S_{2n} = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma - U_\Gamma$.

Let $p \in P_\Gamma - U_\Gamma$. Since P_Γ preserves β_ρ and $B_{2n} \cap \beta_\rho^{-1}([2n-1, 2n+1]) \subset S_{2n}$, it follows that $pB_{2n} \cap \beta_\rho^{-1}([2n-1, 2n+1]) \subset pS_{2n}$. Let $p = au$ for some $a \in A_\Gamma$ and $u \in U_\Gamma$ with $a \neq \mathrm{Id}$. Since $uS_{2n} = S_{2n}$ and $aS_{2n} \cap S_{2n} = \emptyset$, we have $pB_{2n} \cap S_{2n} = \emptyset$.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma - P_\Gamma$, $\gamma H \cap H = \emptyset$. Since $B_{2n} \cap \Gamma H \subset H$, this implies that $\gamma B_{2n} \cap S_{2n} = \emptyset$.

□

Thus, for any k and any even integer $m \geq R + d$, the set of cocycles

$$\{\Phi_{m+2}, \Phi_{m+4}, \ldots \Phi_{m+2k}\}$$

is independent, which suffices to prove Theorem 1.

References

[BW06] K.-U. Bux and K. Wortman, *A Geometric proof that* $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ *is not finitely presented*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 6 **167** (2006), 839–852.

[BW11] ______, *Connectivity properties of horospheres in euclidean buildings and applications to finiteness properties of discrete groups*, Inventiones mathematicae **185** (2011), 395–419.

[CK15] M. Cesa and B. Kelly, $H^2(SL(3, \mathbb{Z}[t]), \mathbb{Q})$ *is infinite dimensional*, preprint (2015).

[KM97] S. Krstić and J. McCool, *The non-finite presentability of* $IA(F_3)$ *and* $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$., Inventiones Mathematicae **129** (1997), 595–606.

[Knu08] K. Knudson, *Homology and finiteness properties of* $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), 2253–2261.

[Wor13] K. Wortman, *An infinitely generated virtual cohomology group for noncocompact arithmetic groups over function fields*, preprint (2013).

S. Cobb, Department of Mathematics, Midwestern State University
3410 Taft Boulevard, Wichita Falls, TX 76308

E-mail address, `sarah.cobb@mwsu.edu`